



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner reminded Applicant that during patent examination, pending claims must be given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification. Accordingly, recitations of "apparatus" and "article", as recited in the pending claims of the instant application, may be interpreted extremely broad and an apparatus comprising an article having the specified dissimilar conductive electrode pattern of the claims may be anticipated by or unpatentable over a wide variety of conductive articles or products of manufacture. In effort to expedite prosecution of the instant Application, the Examiner proposed changes be made to Claims 10, 11, 14 and 18 via Examiner's Amendment in order to ensure that the subject matter which the Applicant regards as his invention (as it is described within the originally filed disclosure) is particularly pointed out and distinctly defined by the claims. Applicant agreed.